Evaluation and management of intracranial mass lesions in AIDS

Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology

Mission statement. The Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) is charged with developing practice parameters for neurologists for diagnostic procedures, treatment modalities, and clinical disorders. The selection of topics for which practice parameters are developed is based on prevalence, frequency of use, economic impact, membership involvement, controversy, urgency, external constraints, and resources required. This paper addresses the management of intracranial masses in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Justification. Through October 31, 1995, there were 501,310 persons with AIDS reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).1 Ten percent of these cases were reported during the period 1981 to 1987, 41% during 1988 to 1992, and 49% during 1993 to October 31, 1995.1 In 1990, an estimated one million individuals were infected with HIV in the United States,2 and the more recent epidemiologic data on AIDS from the CDC suggest a substantial increase in that number.

Intracranial mass lesions are among the most common neurologic consequences of HIV infection. Clinically relevant neurologic disease is observed in as many as two-thirds of patients with HIV infection3 and heralds the development of AIDS in 10% to 20% of patients; intracranial mass lesions account for as many as one-half these neurologic disorders. The nature of the HIV-associated intracranial mass lesions falls into three distinct categories—opportunistic infections, neoplasms, and cerebrovascular diseases. Toxoplasma encephalitis, the most common cause of intracranial mass lesions in AIDS, occurs in 3 to 10% of patients with AIDS in the United States and in up to 50% of patients with AIDS in Europe and Africa.8 Primary CNS lymphoma (PCNSL), the second most common cause of AIDS-related intracranial mass lesions in the developed world, occurs in up to 2.0% of patients9 and appears to be increasing in incidence, possibly as a consequence of improved survival for the profoundly immunosuppressed.6,7 Other causes of intracranial mass lesions in AIDS include tuberculous abscesses and tuberculomas, cryptococcal abscesses and cryptococcomas, Nocardia abscesses, syphilitic gummas, Candida abscesses, and other infectious disorders; metastatic tumors; and cerebrovascular disease when accompanied by edema.

The proper management of an HIV-infected patient presenting with an intracranial mass lesion requires a working knowledge of the various etiologies of intracranial mass lesions observed with HIV and their relative frequencies, clinical and radiographic manifestations, associated therapeutic options, and prognosis. There has been considerable controversy regarding the management of these lesions, particularly with respect to when to proceed to a diagnostic brain biopsy. Both quality of life and survival may be affected by the course of action.8-11 Therefore, practice parameters are suggested for the management of HIV-infected patients with intracranial mass lesions.

Description of process. To our knowledge, only one study to date has specifically addressed the issue of diagnosis and management of intracranial mass lesions with HIV infection in a comprehensive, prospective manner12; therefore, recommendations with respect to this issue have been largely based on personal experience and literature review. A Medline (National Library of Medicine) search of the relevant literature from 1981 through December 1996 was undertaken employing a strategy in which the terms “HIV-1,” “acquired immunodeficiency syndrome,” and “HIV infections” occurred with either “toxoplas-
miosis,” “brain,” or “brain neoplasms.” A total of 468 relevant papers were identified. Studies reporting a series of patients with intracranial mass lesions were included in the review. Additionally, general textbooks on neurology, infectious diseases, or AIDS were consulted.

Recommendations for the management of intracranial mass lesions were solicited from the members of the Working Group. The members of this group are experts broadly representative of the disciplines involved in the diagnosis and treatment of HIV-associated intracranial mass lesions and are regarded as experts in the field. The material available from the literature review was integrated with a consensus arrived at by a panel of experts in the discipline of the neurologic complications of AIDS. The disciplines represented by this body of experts include adult neurology (J. Berger, C. Hall, J. McArthur), pediatric neurology (M. Mintz), neurosurgery (R. Levy), radiology (M.J.D. Post), and infectious diseases (M. Pierce). Drafts of this recommendation were circulated among the members of the group until consensus was achieved.

After a consensus was achieved among the Working Group, the recommendations were circulated to other members of the AAN with a specific interest in AIDS-related neurologic complications (R. Price, D. Simpson, L. Epstein, A. Belman, T. Tucker, K. Kieburtz, B. Navia) and to specific lay groups involved with issues related to AIDS research, care, and treatment (ACT UP).

**Scientific body.** Background and scope of the problem. Intracranial mass lesions account for as many as one-half the neurologic disorders associated with HIV infection. Although these lesions are typically observed in patients with advanced immunosuppression, they are not infrequently the presenting manifestation of HIV infection. The spectrum of underlying etiologies of HIV-associated intracranial mass lesions is broad.

Toxoplasmosis is the most common cause of intracerebral mass lesion occurring in adults in association with HIV infection.13,14 CNS toxoplasmosis generally occurs with advanced stages of immunosuppression.10 In the United States, 10 to 40% of adults with AIDS are latently infected with Toxoplasma gondii, an obligate intracellular parasite with worldwide distribution.15 Approximately 25 to 50% of AIDS patients who are seropositive for T gondii will ultimately develop toxoplasmosis encephalopathy in the absence of prophylactic therapy. In a study from San Francisco, cerebral toxoplasmosis occurred in 4.1% of all patients with AIDS and 28% of AIDS patients with neurologic disease symptoms.16 At autopsy, between 10%17 and 30%18 of AIDS patients have cerebral toxoplasmosis. In a combined clinicopathologic series from Miami in 1987,3 it accounted for 40% of all identified neurologic illnesses. In one study,19 the probability of cerebral toxoplasmosis in the Toxoplasma-seropositive patient with an intracranial mass lesion who had not been receiving anti-Toxoplasma prophylaxis therapy was 0.87, but was 0.59 if anti-Toxoplasma prophylaxis had been administered. Other opportunistic infections (table) resulting in intracranial mass lesions are observed with varying frequency.

The most common brain neoplasm observed in association with HIV infection is PCNSL. As many as 0.6% of AIDS patients present with PCNSL, and PCNSL ultimately develops in up to 2.0%.6 Antinori et al.12 found the probability of PCNSL in a Toxoplasma-seronegative patient with an intracranial mass lesion to be 0.74. Other neoplasms that have been reported in association with HIV infection include gliomas, Kaposi’s sarcoma, and metastatic tumor.

Although not invariably associated with mass effect, cerebrovascular disease when associated with edema is another potential cause of intracranial mass lesions in HIV-infected patients. The reported incidence of cerebrovascular disease in clinical studies of AIDS patients ranges from 0.5 to 7%.3,13,16,19,20 This incidence is even higher in autopsy studies in which estimates of stroke have varied between 11 and 34%.21-24 The spectrum of cerebrovascular diseases that occurs in association with HIV infection is quite broad and includes both ischemic and hemorrhagic disease.25 In general, ischemic disease is more

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Etiologies of mass lesions in HIV infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opportunistic infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma gondii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysticercosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucormycosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccioidiomycosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria monocytogenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treponema pallidum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary CNS lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaposi sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
common than cerebral hemorrhage,24,25 despite the frequency of concomitant thrombocytopenia. Cerebral vasculitis may also complicate HIV infection, occasionally occurring as a consequence of concomitant opportunistic infection, such as herpes zoster,26-29 syphilis,30 or other infections.25 Clinical considerations. The presence of an intracranial mass lesion in an HIV-infected person is generally heralded by one or more of the following: headache, seizures, altered level of consciousness, impaired cognitive function, or focal neurologic signs and symptoms. Other potential etiologies for these findings in the presence of HIV infection include the following: viral meningitis or meningoencephalitis caused by HIV or by opportunistic infection with other viruses (such as cytomegalovirus); bacterial, fungal, or neoplastic meningitis without an accompanying intracerebral mass lesion; metabolic and nutritional disorders; and drug toxicity. The most sensitive diagnostic study for the demonstration of an intracranial mass lesion is cranial MRI performed with and without a contrast agent, such as gadolinium.31 However, the routine use of a contrast agent with MRI in patients with AIDS is not without controversy.32 The limited availability of the MRI scanners as well as other considerations (e.g., degradation of the image by movement, the time needed to complete the study, and the expense) may preclude its performance. CT of the head with a double dose of IV iodinated contrast (approximately 78 grams of iothalamate meglumine) via bolus and drip infusion followed 1 hour later by high-resolution CT is a very sensitive technique for detecting these lesions.33 However, its limitations, particularly with respect to the visualization of lesions in the posterior fossa, are well recognized.

Recommendations. After the presence of an intracranial lesion is confirmed by one of the above studies, a decision needs to be made for its appropriate management (figure). All recommendations are guidelines unless otherwise stated.

1. Large lesions with mass effect threatening impending herniation require open biopsy with decompression (standard). This recommendation does not apply if the patient is terminal or has an advance directive specifically requesting no intervention.

2. When available, 201T1 SPECT is an option. Although not highly sensitive, particularly with small34 or necrotic35 lesions, thallium201 single photon emission computed tomography (201T1 SPECT), when positive, appears to be highly specific for PCNSL.34-36 A positive result warrants stereotactic brain biopsy. Similar results have been obtained with PET employing 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18FDG-PET).37-38

3. Empiric treatment for toxoplasmosis should be instituted in all other cases, except when a single intracranial mass lesion accompanies negative serology for toxoplasmosis. Neither factor (single lesion or negative serology) alone appears to have sufficient negative predictive value for CNS toxoplasmosis to justify stereotactic brain biopsy.

4. The therapy of toxoplasma encephalitis is oral pyrimethamine (an initial loading dose of 50 to 200 mg followed by 25 to 50 mg/d) and 6 to 8 grams of sulfadiazine per day divided into four equal doses. Individuals allergic to sulfadiazine may be desensitized to sulfadiazine or alternatively treated with clindamycin 2,400 mg per day in three equal doses.39,40 Other treatment alternatives include atovaquone or azithromycin. In children, pyrimethamine 2 mg/kg/d is administered in divided doses every 12 hours for 1 to 3 days than as 1 mg/kg daily or as divided doses every 12 hours to a maximum of 25 mg per day. It is administered in conjunction with sulfadiazine 120 mg/kg/d in divided doses every 6 hours in doses not to exceed the adult dose. Both drugs cross the blood-brain barrier.41 Folinic acid, 5 to 10 mg/d, is needed to diminish bone marrow suppression. Treatment with corticosteroids should be avoided unless brain herniation is threatened. An apparent response to antitoxoplasmosis therapy in the face of concomitant administration of corticosteroids
should be interpreted cautiously, and careful reevaluation after discontinuation is required.

5. The concomitance of negative toxoplasmosis serology and a single lesion on radiographic imaging is deemed sufficient to warrant the performance of a stereotactic biopsy. Although single lesions visualized by radiographic imaging were believed rare with toxoplasmosis, in one large study, 28 of 103 patients with toxoplasmosis (27%) had single lesions on CT, and 3 of 21 (14%) had single lesions on MRI. Another study revealed that 17% of single lesions were toxoplasmosis, although single lesions were more than four times as likely to be lymphoma as toxoplasmosis. Additionally, serology for toxoplasmosis has been reported to be negative in the presence of established CNS toxoplasmosis. In one study, 13 of 80 patients with clinical toxoplasmosis (16%) and 4 of 18 with pathologically proven toxoplasmosis (22%) had undetectable plasma anti-Toxoplasma IgG antibody titers by indirect immunofluorescence assay. Among the explanations for false-negative antibody titers for toxoplasmosis are recently acquired infection and insensitive assays. The issue of whether CNS toxoplasmosis may occur in the setting of negative antitoxoplasmosis antibody titers in a sensitive and reliable assay remains controversial. Some investigators contend that a negative toxoplasmosis serology is sufficiently predictive to exclude the diagnosis of cerebral toxoplasmosis. However, the combination of a negative toxoplasmosis serology and a single lesion on radiographic imaging strongly militates against the presence of Toxoplasma encephalitis.

6. Patients treated presumptively for toxoplasmosis need to be carefully monitored both clinically and radiographically for response to treatment over the succeeding 10- to 14-day period. In a large clinical series of patients with Toxoplasma encephalitis, 74% had improvement by day 7 of therapy and 91% by day 14. The median time to a response was 5 days. Failure to respond to therapy, indicated by persistence or worsening of either clinical symptomatology or the mass lesions observed on radiographic imaging (MRI or CT) dictates the performance of a diagnostic stereotactic biopsy. If clinical and radiographic assessments indicate a response, antitoxoplasmosis therapy should be continued indefinitely with follow-up radiographic imaging performed every 4 to 6 weeks until the lesions have regressed in their entirety or demonstrate no further change. Apparent clinical improvement should be interpreted cautiously in the evaluation of a seemingly therapeutic response to antitoxoplasmosis therapy if corticosteroids have also been administered. Reevaluation on continued antibiotic therapy in the absence of corticosteroid therapy is mandated within 2 weeks.

7. In HIV-infected children, proceeding directly to stereotactic biopsy may be a consideration to eliminate diagnoses other than opportunistic infections. In contrast to adults, opportunistic infections of the CNS, including toxoplasmosis, are rarely observed in children. Although toxoplasmosis in HIV-infected children has been anecdotally reported, it was not observed in two separate cohorts examined for HIV-associated neurologic disease.

Risk assessment and costs. The risks of CT are chiefly those inherent to radiographic exposure and those associated with the contrast agent—allergic reactions, nephrotoxicity, encephalopathy, etc. CT in pregnant women is relatively contraindicated. MRI is a benign procedure, but is contraindicated in persons with pacemakers and metallic implants. Because of the lengthier amount of time required to complete an MRI and the sequestration of the patient in a confined tube often generating feelings of claustrophobia, the MRI is perceived as more inconvenient than the CT. The risks of stereotactic brain biopsy include the risks of anesthesia, intracranial hemorrhage, and infection. The expense of this procedure with hospital costs averages approximately $10,000.

The risk of radionuclide brain scanning is chiefly the allergic reaction to the isotope preparation. The cost of the study is approximately $1,000. Although experience with 18FDG-PET is increasing, its expense and limited availability greatly restrict its general application to the evaluation of intracranial mass lesions in AIDS.

In a population of 500 non-HIV-infected individuals, brain biopsy was associated with a 0.2% mortality and a 1.0% morbidity rate chiefly due to intracranial hemorrhage. However, the risk associated with brain biopsy in AIDS patients may be higher. Levy et al. observed intracranial hemorrhage in 4 of 50 AIDS patients (8%) undergoing brain biopsy. Antinori et al. in their series of 136 patients, reported a morbidity of 12% and mortality of 2%. Additionally, the published rate of nondiagnostic brain biopsies in AIDS patients has varied from 4 to 36%. Although some studies have employed CSF polymerase chain reaction for Epstein-Barr virus and toxoplasmosis in their decision analysis, in general, lumbar puncture is not recommended in persons with intracranial mass lesions because of the risk of brain herniation.

Recommendations for the next review. As experience with the neurologic complications of HIV infection grows and as treatment options improve, these recommendations may become outdated. For instance, a high predictive value for the presence of neoplasm by radionuclide brain scanning may suggest empirical radiation therapy and obviate the need for brain biopsy. Similarly, the demonstration
of a uniformly high specificity of toxoplasmosis serology may alter the algorithm and suggest earlier brain biopsy without a trial of antitoxoplasmosis therapy.

Definitions for classification of evidence:
Class I. Evidence provided by one or more well-designed randomized controlled clinical trials, including overviews (meta-analyses) of such trials.
Class II. Evidence provided by well-designed observational studies with concurrent controls (e.g., case control and cohort studies).
Class III. Evidence provided by expert opinion, case series, case reports, and studies with historical controls.

Definitions for strength of recommendations:
Standards. A principle for patient management that reflects a high degree of clinical certainty (usually this requires Class I evidence that directly addresses the clinical question or overwhelming Class II evidence when circumstances preclude randomized clinical trials).
Guidelines. A recommendation for patient management that reflects moderate clinical certainty (usually this requires Class II evidence or a strong consensus of Class III evidence).
Practice option. A strategy for patient management for which the clinical utility is uncertain (inconclusive or conflicting evidence or opinion).
Practice advisory. A practice recommendation for emerging and/or newly approved therapies or technologies based on evidence from at least one class I study. The evidence may demonstrate only a modest statistical effect or limited (partial) clinical response, or significant cost-benefit questions may exist. Substantial (or potential) disagreement among practitioners or between payers and practitioners may exist.
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