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Abstract—Objective: To review the risk of MS exacerbations after infectious episodes potentially preventable by vaccination, and the risks and benefits of immunizing patients with MS. Methods: The authors searched MEDLINE (1966 to January 2001; U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD), HealthSTAR, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) database (Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA) for English-language articles. Each study was summarized and rated for quality of evidence. Then feasible data were pooled and analyzed in meta-analysis. Results: The risk of contracting common infectious diseases in patients with MS is not well established. There is strong evidence for an increased risk of MS exacerbations during weeks around an infectious episode. There is strong evidence against an increased risk of MS exacerbation after influenza immunization. There is no evidence that hepatitis B, varicella, tetanus, or Bacille Calmette–Guerin vaccines increase the risk of MS exacerbations. Insufficient evidence was found for other vaccines. Conclusions: Evidence supports 1) strategies to minimize the risk of acquiring infectious diseases that may trigger exacerbations of MS; and 2) the safety of using influenza, hepatitis B, varicella, tetanus, and Bacille Calmette–Guerin (BCG) vaccines in patients with MS.

Although the direct or the indirect pathogenic role of numerous infectious agents is debated, there is evidence that MS exacerbations occur around infectious episodes, which could potentially be prevented by vaccination.

However, there are concerns about the safety of immunization in patients with MS, particularly about the risk of relapses after vaccination. To address these concerns, the MS Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines commissioned a systematic review to obtain background for guidelines on immunization and convened an expert panel to establish guidelines. This systematic review has three objectives. First, we aim to provide the information on the need to vaccinate patients with MS by evaluating the risk of MS exacerbation after potentially preventable infections. Second, we review the available evidence on safety and efficacy of vaccines in patients with MS. Finally, we provide an overview of the guidelines for vaccinating patients with MS.

Methods. Identification of topics for literature search. Topics were identified by the Immunization Panel of the MS Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines and the methodological advisory panel of the Center for Clinical Health Policy Research at Duke University.

Two topic questions were formulated to address the need to vaccinate patients with MS: 1) Are vaccine-preventable infectious diseases more frequent in patients with MS than in the general population? 2) Do vaccine-preventable infectious diseases increase the risk of MS exacerbations?

Two other topic questions addressed the risks and the benefits of immunizing patients with MS: 3) Does vaccination increase the risk of exacerbations of MS, and is there a difference in this risk between live attenuated and inactivated vaccines? 4) Are vaccines as effective in patients with MS as in the general population?

Search strategy and inclusion process. We reviewed English language MEDLINE (from 1966 to
January 2001; U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD) and two other online bibliographic databases, HealthSTAR and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) (Cinahl Information Systems, Glendale, CA), and the reference lists of all included articles and review articles. Search strategies included index terms and text words for “MS,” “transverse myelitis,” and index terms for “optic neuritis,” “encephalomyelitis,” “demyelinating diseases,” and for general and specific terms relating to vaccination and related infectious diseases. Six hundred and sixty-seven citations were obtained and 280 full-text articles were screened for inclusion by two independent reviewers according to criteria developed by the methodologists guided by the expert panel. One hundred and thirty articles were included; 53 experimental or observational studies were abstracted in evidence tables, and 77 case reports were summarized in a summary table. Twenty-five articles addressed the four first topic questions.

Assessment of the quality of available evidence. Each included and abstracted study was evaluated and rated for quality of evidence using the classification scheme developed by the American Academy of Neurology (table 1).

When feasible, data were pooled and analyzed in meta-analysis using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software for Windows (version 1.09; Biostat, Englewood, NJ).

### Results

Are potentially vaccine-preventable infectious diseases more frequent in patients with MS than in the general population? One prospective cohort study (Class II evidence)6,7 and two case-control studies (Class IV evidence) were identified. The first study showed that viral infections including cold, influenza, enteric, and herpetic infections were
significantly less frequent in patients with MS than in control subjects. The second showed no difference in the monthly cold frequency comparing 39 patients with MS with 39 community control subjects. In contrast, the third study reported a significantly higher rate of sinusitis in patients with MS than in control subjects.

Thus, there is conflicting evidence on the risk of common infectious diseases in the MS population compared with control populations (Level U Recommendation).

Do potentially vaccine-preventable infectious diseases increase the risk of MS exacerbations? Six cohort studies (Class II, Class IV evidence) and two case-control studies (Class IV evidence) were identified. In a prospective cohort of 60 patients with MS, the annual number of infections was 3.50 in the group of 46 patients who had one or more relapses, compared with 2.34 in the group of 14 patients who did not experience a relapse (p < 0.01) (Class II evidence).

In a 4-week period beginning 1 week before the infection and ending 3 weeks after the infection, the relative risk of relapse was 1.3 (p = 0.0477) compared with all other periods.

In another prospective cohort of 41 patients, the attack rate of clinical exacerbations was 3.3 during “at risk” periods, defined as periods from 2 weeks before to 2 weeks after an upper respiratory tract infection, compared with 1.6 in “not at risk” periods (OR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3 to 3.2) (Class II evidence).

In a third cohort of 30 patients, nearly two-thirds of exacerbations were observed during at risk period (from 1 week before an episode of upper respiratory tract infection to 5 weeks after), and one-third of infections were associated with exacerbations. Attack rates of exacerbations were 2.92 per year during at risk periods compared with 1.16 during not at risk periods (p < 0.001) (Class II evidence).

The incidence of viral infections and the relation between infections and MS exacerbations was studied in a cohort of 170 patients with MS with 134 concurrent control subjects (Class II evidence). Viral infections were significantly less frequent in patients with MS than in control subjects. The overall exacerbation rates were almost three times greater during at risk (−2 weeks to +3 weeks around the infection) periods (0.64/year, 95% CI: 0.49 to 0.79) compared with not at risk periods (0.23/year, 95% CI: 0.19 to 0.26).

Serum antibody levels to various viruses were prospectively determined in 34 patients with MS. (Class II evidence). There were no significant changes in measles, adenovirus, or mumps antibody levels. Three patients had significant changes in herpes simplex and three others in respiratory syncytial virus antibodies. For both viruses, the increase was related to an MS attack in one patient. Thirty-four other patients with MS were prospectively followed for a period of 461 patient-months (Class II evidence). During this period, 48% of 69 MS attacks were associated with infections, and 40% of infections were associated with exacerbations.

In a cohort of 233 patients, influenza episodes were recorded in 36 patients with relapsing-remitting MS (Class IV evidence). Thirteen (36%) of these episodes were associated with an exacerbation. Four patients with the progressive form of MS had symptoms of influenza illness with an increase in fatigue in two patients.

In a case−control study, the rate of nasopharyngeal infections was determined in a group of 92 patients with MS and compared with control subjects (Class IV evidence). The rate of sinusitis was significantly higher in the MS group than in the control group (up to four-fold higher). The rate of MS attacks was 0.025 per patient per year during the at risk periods (from 2 months before to 6 months after an episode of sinusitis), compared with 0.012 during not at risk periods.

In another case−control study, the monthly cold frequency was 13.3% in 39 patients with MS and 13.7% in 39 control subjects (Class IV evidence). During a 294 patient−months period, 19 MS exacerbations were observed, and six occurred in association with colds; the rate of exacerbation was more than three times higher in cold months than in non-cold months (p = 0.028).

Thus, there is definitive evidence for an increased risk of MS exacerbations during the weeks around an infectious episode (Level A Recommendation).

Does vaccination increase the risk of exacerbations of MS, and is there a difference in this risk between live attenuated and inactivated vaccines?

Live attenuated vaccines. BCG. In a crossover trial (Class II evidence), BCG vaccine was administered to 14 patients with relapsing-remitting MS. MRI were performed monthly during a 6-month run-in period before the administration of BCG vaccine and during 6 months after the injection. There was a 57% reduction in the mean number of active MRI lesions from the run-in period (2.27) to the post-BCG period (0.98; p = 0.008).

Thus, there is suggestive evidence that BCG vaccine is safe in patients with MS and that it might reduce disease activity (Level B Recommendation) (table 2).

Measles. None of three MS patients immunized with a live attenuated measles vaccine experienced any clinical changes during the month after vaccination (Class IV evidence). Thus, there is insufficient published evidence to support or to reject an increased risk of MS exacerbation after measles vaccination (Level U Recommendation).

Sabin-polio. In a prospective cohort study of 20 patients with MS receiving a polivalent Sabin vaccine, no cases of clinical deterioration were observed. In contrast, five cases of exacerbation of definite or possible MS have been reported after Sabin polio vaccine (Class IV evidence).

Thus, there is insufficient published evidence to support or to reject an increased risk of MS exacerbation after live attenuated poliovirus vaccination (Level U Recommendation).
Smallpox. Two case reports were identified (Class IV evidence). The first showed an exacerbation of MS after immunization, and the second reported an improvement of MS symptoms after repeated smallpox vaccine injections. Thus, there is insufficient evidence to support or to reject an increased risk of exacerbation after smallpox vaccine (Level U Recommendation).

Varicella. Fifty patients with MS participated in a pilot trial testing the safety and the efficacy of live-attenuated varicella vaccine, with a 12-month follow-up (Class III evidence). Among the 45 patients able to be evaluated, all patients had a significant rise in antibodies after vaccination. Fourteen had an improvement in their clinical status, four worsened, and 29 remained unchanged. Similarly, two patients with MS experienced improvement of their disease after having contracted varicella as adults. Thus, there is suggestive evidence that varicella vaccine is safe in patients with MS and that it might reduce the disease activity (Level C Recommendation).

Inactivated vaccines. Hepatitis B. In a case–crossover study (Class III evidence), the effect of vaccination on the short-term risk of relapse was assessed in 643 patients with MS who had experienced a relapse preceded by a relapse-free period of at least 12 months. Exposure to any type of vaccine during the 2 months period before the relapse (risk period) was compared with exposure to vaccine during the 8 months preceding the risk period (four 2-month control periods). The relative risk (95% CI) of having a relapse during the risk period was 0.71 (0.40 to 1.26) compared with the control periods. Analyzing the different types of vaccines (tetanus alone, combined tetanus, hepatitis B, influenza, monovalent, combined), the results were similar. For hepatitis B vaccine, the relative risk (95% CI) was 0.67 (0.20 to 2.17). Previously, four cases of MS exacerbation after hepatitis B immunization had been reported in a retrospective analysis of post-vaccine neurologic complications reported to the manufacturer of the vaccines (Class IV evidence).

Thus, there is suggestive evidence that hepatitis B vaccine does not increase the risk of relapse in patients with MS (Level C Recommendation).

Influenza. Three randomized, placebo-controlled trials (Class I, Class II evidence), one case–crossover (Class III evidence), and seven cohort studies (Class II, Class IV evidence) were identified (see table 2). For each of the three randomized, controlled trials, the rate difference for early MS exacerbation (3 to 4 weeks after vaccine/placebo), for late exacerbation (4 to 6 months after vaccine/placebo), and for occurrence of influenza was estimated and combined in a meta-analysis (figure). Within the limits of the few studies included in the analysis, no heterogeneity was found ($p = 0.58$). Rate difference for early MS exacerbation ranged from $-6.1\%$ to $2.0\%$, with an overall rate difference of $0\%$ (95% CI: $-6.9\%$ to $6.9\%$). For late exacerbation, the rate difference ranged from $0\%$ to $11.3\%$, with a pooled rate difference of $6.1\%$ (95% CI: $-4.1$ to $16.3\%$). Finally, the rate difference for influenza during the 6 months after the intervention range from $5.7\%$ to $8.7\%$, with a pooled rate difference of $8.4\%$ (95% CI: $-2.5\%$ to $19.3\%$). Although these negative studies are reassuring, they do not definitely exclude a slight risk of increase of exacerbation after immunization. Nevertheless, the 95% CI excludes an absolute increase in exacerbation rate beyond 0.08 per patient per year.

Thus, there is definitive evidence against a substantial increased risk of MS exacerbation after influenza vaccine (Level A Recommendation).

Tetanus. In a case–crossover study (Class III evidence), the relative risk (95% CI) of relapse associated with exposure to tetanus vaccine alone was 0.75 (0.23 to 2.46) and 0.22 (0.05 to 0.99) when tetanus was combined with poliovirus, diphtheria, or both. Thus, there is suggestive evidence that tetanus vaccine does not increase the risk of relapses in patients with MS (Level C Recommendation).

Typhoid fever. Four patients with MS experienced an exacerbation of their symptoms from 12 hours to 14 days after typhoid fever vaccine (Class IV evidence). Thus, there is insufficient evidence that typhoid vaccine does or does not increase the risk of MS exacerbation (Level U Recommendation) (see table 2).

Are vaccines as effective in patients with MS as in the general population? Four experimental trials reported efficacy data (Class I, Class II evidence). Two randomized controlled trials on influenza vaccine reported influenza episodes during a 6-month follow-up after immunization (see table 2). None of these studies reported data regarding antibody response to vaccine.

The immune response to a live attenuated poliovirus vaccine was explored in 20 patients with MS and 18 control subjects. Eleven patients with MS (55%) and eight control subjects (44%) were considered as “infected” after immunization.

In a pilot trial of varicella immunization in 50 patients with MS, a significant rise in varicella antibodies after vaccination was observed in all patients and persisted for 7 to 12 months.

Thus, there is insufficient evidence regarding the efficacy of immunization in patients with MS (Level U Recommendation).

Discussion. The interrelation between vaccines, natural infections, and MS has been an ongoing source of concern for the community of patients with MS, clinicians, and the general public for decades. The evidence consolidated in the current systematic review provides some answers to several difficult questions.

First, patients with MS and their physicians should be concerned about an increased risk of exacerbations after infections. Although there is conflicting evidence on the risk of contracting infectious
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients included in the analyses</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Class of evidence</th>
<th>Vaccine type</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Live attenuated vaccines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 patients with relapsing–remitting MS</td>
<td>Single crossover</td>
<td>Class II (inclusion/exclusion criteria not clearly stated)</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>Number of exacerbations 6 months before BCG: 9 6 months after BCG: 1 Mean number of active MRI lesions 6 months before BCG: 2.27 6 months after BCG: 0.98</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 patients with MS, 18 control subjects</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Class II (narrow spectrum of persons)</td>
<td>Sabin-polio</td>
<td>Antibody response in 55% of patients with MS, 44% of control subjects. No cases of MS exacerbation observed.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 patients with MS</td>
<td>Pilot prospective clinical trial</td>
<td>Class III (patients served as own control subjects)</td>
<td>Varicella</td>
<td>Clinical changes at 12 months after vaccination: 14 patients improved, 4 worsened, 29 remained unchanged.</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactivated vaccines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 participants from the European Database for Multiple Sclerosis</td>
<td>Retrospective case–crossover study</td>
<td>Class III (narrow spectrum of persons)</td>
<td>Tetanus combine Te hepatitis B influenza</td>
<td>RR (95% CI) of relapse if vaccinated during the 2 months before the relapse (risk period) vs vaccinated during the 8-month period before the risk period: 0.71 (0.40–1.26)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 patients with relapsing–remitting MS 49 receiving influenza vaccine 54 receiving placebo</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Class I (good quality RCT)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Exacerbations 4 weeks follow-up: 3 (vaccine) vs 2 (placebo), ( p = NS ) 6 months follow-up: 11 (vaccine) vs 6 (placebo), ( p = NS ) Influenza episodes: 7 (vaccine) vs 3 (placebo)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 patients with relapsing–remitting MS 11 receiving influenza vaccine 8 receiving placebo</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Class I (good quality RCT)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Exacerbations 4 weeks follow-up: 1 (vaccine) vs 1 (placebo), ( p = NS ) 6 months follow-up: 3 (vaccine) vs 2 (placebo), ( p = NS ) Influenza episodes: 2 (vaccine) vs 1 (placebo)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 patients with relapsing–remitting MS, 6 patients with progressive MS 33 receiving influenza vaccine 33 receiving placebo</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Class II (inclusion/exclusion criteria not reported)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Exacerbations 3 weeks follow-up: 2 (vaccine) vs 4 (placebo), ( p = NS ) 3 months follow-up: 4 (vaccine) vs 4 (placebo), ( p = NS ) Influenza episodes: not reported</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127 patients with MS: 65 receiving vaccine 62 not vaccinated</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Class II (narrow spectrum of persons)</td>
<td>Swine influenzia</td>
<td>Episodes of deterioration Vaccinated: 0.011 per patient–month. Not vaccinated: 0.032 per patient–month.</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 patients with MS followed 12 months before and 12 months after vaccine</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Class II (narrow spectrum of persons)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Five patients had no change before and after the vaccine. One patient shifted to the progressive form of the disease.</td>
<td>24;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 patients with MS (16 relapsing-remitting MS, 8 progressive MS)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Class II (narrow spectrum of persons)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>One patient developed optic neuritis 24 hours after vaccination</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233 patients with MS 180 relapsing MS, 80 vaccinated 53 primary progressive MS, 24 vaccinated</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Class IV (no masked evaluation)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>Exacerbation during the 6 weeks after the vaccine: 4/80 in the relapsing group. Influenza episodes: 36 relapsing patients. Exacerbation after influenza: 13/36 patients.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152 patients with MS: 93 vaccinated (209 inoculations) 59 not vaccinated</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Class IV (no masked evaluation)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>One patient developed retrobulbar neuritis 24 hours after influenza vaccine.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 patients with MS</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Class IV (no masked evaluation)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>No difference in exacerbation rate before and after immunization.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 patients with relapsing–remitting MS</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Class IV (no masked evaluation)</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>No MS exacerbation during the 3 weeks after vaccine.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCG = Bacille Calmette-Guerin; RCT = randomized controlled trial.
diseases in the population of patients with MS compared with control subjects, there is strong and consistent evidence that infections—even common upper respiratory tract infections such as colds—are associated with an increased risk of MS exacerbations. Therefore, it seems reasonable to promote any health care strategy that may reduce the risk of acquiring infections. Immunizations are health care strategies that can reduce the risk of some infections.

Second, patients with MS and their physicians are often concerned about an increased risk of exacerbation after immunization. Influenza vaccine is the most commonly administered vaccine, and current recommendations propose universal yearly influenza vaccination for every individual aged 50 or older, making many patients with MS potential recipients for this vaccine. This review identified strong, reassuring evidence that influenza vaccine is safe and is not associated with a significant increased risk of MS exacerbation. Regarding the efficacy of this vaccine, only three small- to medium-sized prospective, randomized trials addressed this question. Because they were not sufficiently powered, or did not report influenza episodes, it is not possible to draw any conclusion about the efficacy of this vaccine for the prevention of flu in the MS population.

Regarding other vaccines, the evidence is much spottier. One carefully conducted study provides reassurance that hepatitis B and tetanus vaccines do not increase the risk of exacerbations, but the evidence is even more scarce for measles, polio, or typhoid fever vaccines and is completely absent for commonly used vaccines, such as those for hepatitis A, mumps, rubella, or pneumococcus. This should stimulate researchers in designing prospective trials or at least well designed, retrospective studies addressing safety and efficacy of these vaccines.

Interestingly, two small pilot studies explored the potential beneficial immunomodulatory effects of BCG and varicella vaccines. Although both studies suggest that these vaccines might reduce disease activity, it is certainly premature and may be hazardous to draw any conclusions from these results. These findings do offer promise for possible future research.

**Recommendations.** Based on this review, the Immunization Panel of the MS Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines recommends that:

1) Patients with MS should follow Centers for Disease Control indications for immunizations (http://www.cdc.gov/nip/recs/adult-schedule.pdf). (Influenza: Level A Recommendation; hepatitis B, varicella, tetanus: Level C Recommendation; other vaccines: Level U Recommendation, expert opinion.)

2) Vaccination should be delayed during clinically significant relapses, until patients have stabilized or have begun to improve from the relapse, typically 4 to 6 weeks after the start of the relapse. There is, however, no evidence regarding this practice (Level U Recommendation, expert opinion). For patients
who require tetanus vaccination after a wound, the panel recommends not to delay vaccination even if they are in a midst of a relapse although, again, there is no actual evidence on this point (Level U Recommendation, expert opinion).

3) There is a divided opinion among experts regarding the potential usefulness of influenza vaccine in patients with MS who do not otherwise meet the CDC indications for vaccination. The panel recommends that potential risks and benefits of vaccination in these circumstances be discussed individually with each patient (Level U Recommendation, expert opinion).

4) Pneumococcal vaccine should be considered for patients with compromised pulmonary function, such as wheelchair-dependant or bed-bound patients. There is, however, no evidence regarding this practice (Level U Recommendation, expert opinion).

A complete guidelines document is available at http://www.pva.org/NEWPVASITE/publications/onlinepubs.htm

Disclaimer. This statement is provided as an educational service of the American Academy of Neurology. It is based on an assessment of current scientific and clinical information. It is not intended to include all possible proper methods of care for a particular neurologic problem or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. The AAN recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and the physician caring for the patient, based on all of the circumstances involved.

Appendix Immunization Panel of the Multiple Sclerosis Council for Clinical Practice Guidelines’ Members: Dennis Bourdette, MD (chair), Oregon Health & Science University, Portland; Lois Copperman, PhD, OT, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland; Patricia Coyle, MD, State University of New York at Stony Brook; Frank DeStafano, MD, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; Thomas Marrie, MD, The Queen Elizabeth Health Science Center, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; Deborah Miller, PhD, LISW, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, OH; Cindy Phair, RN, Fairview Multiple Sclerosis Center, Minneapolis, MN; John Richert, MD, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC. Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee Members: Douglas S. Goodin, MD (chair); Carmel Armon, MD; Elliot M. Frohman, MD, PhD; Robert S. Goldman, MD; David Hammond, MD; Chung Y. Hsu, MD, PhD; Andres M. Kanner, MD; David S. Leffkowitz, MD; Isaac E. Silverman, MD; Michael A. Sloan, MD; Yuen T. So, MD, PhD.
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