Optic neuritis (ON) occurs in approximately one-fourth of children presenting with an initial demyelinating event. Although idiopathic ON may occur in isolation in children, it also exists in conjunction with multifocal inflammatory conditions of the CNS such as acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), multiple sclerosis (MS), or neuromyelitis optica (NMO). In the past decade, a number of studies evaluating clinical outcomes of pediatric ON have been published. These studies have addressed key questions regarding frequency, risk for recurrence, and severity of functional and structural deficits following ON in children and adolescents. This article reviews current knowledge about the clinical presentation and management of pediatric ON, with attention to associated syndromes and evaluative tools that may inform diagnosis and interventions.

**Epidemiology, definitions, and presentation**

ON is one of the most common symptoms of acquired demyelinating syndromes in childhood. The incidence in Canada has been calculated to be 0.2 per 100,000 (95% confidence interval 0.16–0.3), but such estimates are not available in other countries for comparison. ON can be defined as a pathologic process whereby inflammation of one or both optic nerves leads to visual dysfunction. The cardinal features of ON include decreased visual acuity (VA), dyschromatopsia (abnormal color vision, notably red color desaturation), and visual field (VF) deficits. Visual loss usually occurs over hours to days, typically reaching a nadir within several days of onset. Unilateral presentation at onset may be followed rapidly by bilateral involvement (within 2 weeks: “bilateral simultaneous ON,” within 2–12 weeks: “bilateral sequential ON,” after 12 weeks: “bilateral recurrent ON”). In a meta-analysis of published cases of
pediatric ON, 72% of children <10 years of age were found to present with bilateral ON, whereas 70% of children ≥10 years presented with a unilateral clinical event ($p < 0.001$).3

Pain with eye movement is reported in 33%–77% of pediatric cases4–7 and therefore does not consistently differentiate inflammatory from noninflammatory optic neuropathies in children. Of importance, children may have difficulty differentiating pain behind the eyes from headache: headache was reported in 53% of children in one study.7

About 20% of children with ON have a VA of 20/40 or better at presentation, another 20% have a VA between 20/50 and 20/190, and about 60% have a VA of 20/200 or worse.2,7 In some children, central high-contrast VA may remain relatively preserved, but low-contrast vision, color perception, and VF deficits may be prominent. Physical examination findings also include the presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect, with funduscopic examination often revealing optic nerve abnormalities including papillitis in the acute stage and optic nerve pallor in chronic stages. Papillitis has been noted in 46%–69% of children with ON compared to one-third of those in the adult Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial (ONTT).8

Although MRI is not required to diagnose ON in children, it may show focal abnormalities of the anterior visual pathway. Typical MRI findings in dedicated orbital MRI studies consist of thickening of the optic nerves on T1-weighted imaging, bright T2 signal along the optic nerve or chiasm, and postgadolinium enhancement. Longitudinally extensive lesions of the optic nerve have been shown to be present in children who are positive for the aquaporin-4 (AQP4) IgG (NMO IgG) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) antibodies (see “Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders in children and adolescents,” p. 559, for more extensive discussion on MOG antibodies) and not in children with MS.9 However, others have found that the longitudinal extent of the lesion does not differ between children with MS and those with ON with non-MS diagnoses.11

Computerized VF testing (perimetry) is often pursued in children older than 7 years12 using pediatric-specific protocols, whereas adult protocols are generally reliable in those older than 13 years.13 The rate of VF defects in acute ON in children is unknown, but they occur in 97.5% of adult ON.14

In children, prolonged P100 latencies on visual evoked potential (VEP) testing are frequent in the acute phase,15 but it is unclear whether children, who may have excellent recovery from ON,16 are more likely than adults to have normalized VEP waveforms in long-term follow-up.17 Of importance, although ON may be clinically limited to one eye in many cases, electrophysiologic studies of pediatric MS suggest that bilateral involvement occurs frequently in pediatric MS even in the absence of overt ON in the fellow eye.18

FUNCTIONAL AND STRUCTURAL OUTCOMES The majority (58%–97%) of children have been found to experience full high-contrast visual acuity (HCVA) recovery (better than 20/30 or 20/40) in separate observational US (n = 15 and n = 29), Canadian (n = 36), and UK (n = 44) cohorts.2,7,16–19 Although recovery of HCVA is excellent, children often report subjective visual abnormalities after ON that HCVA cannot capture, likely secondary to injury to the optic nerve, including axonal degeneration.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) can provide assessments of neuronal injury, including retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness and retinal ganglion cell body and axon layers. In pediatric MS, eyes with a history of ON have been reported to have 10%–20% thinner RNFL than MS non-ON eyes or control eyes and 6% lower macular volumes.20–22 Using time-domain OCT, severe RNFL thinning may occur after a single episode of ON in certain cases, including ON associated with transverse myelitis and ADEM (67 microns) vs MS (83 microns) and healthy age- and sex-matched controls (107 ± 12 microns), suggesting potentially different pathophysiologic processes driving these entities.21 In recurrent disease in children, stepwise decrease in RNFL (8–10 microns) may be seen following each episode of ON.21

Other sensitive tools for the measurement of visual dysfunction are metrics of low-contrast dysfunction, contrast sensitivity, and low-contrast letter acuity (LCLA).13,23–24 Three pediatric studies have demonstrated decreased low-contrast visual acuity (LCVA) after ON.21,22,25 One study showed a correlation between RNFL thickness and LCVA (using 2.5% Sloan letter charts) for all demyelinating disease eyes ($R = 0.42, p = 0.002$).22 In contrast, another study demonstrated that LCVA was not associated with RNFL thinning after adjusting for age and intereye correlations.25 Decreases in other functional testing, including color vision and VF, correlate with decreases in RNFL. Replication of these results in other cohorts is needed.21

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS As previously noted, ON in childhood is marked by heterogeneity and may occur as a monophasic illness, recurrent isolated ON, and recurrent ON in the context of multifocal inflammatory CNS disease. In the child presenting with a first-time episode of acute or subacute visual loss attributed to the optic nerve, once infectious, genetic, and neoplastic etiologies have been ruled out, specific clinical and laboratory indicators may assist in predicting likelihood of ON being the...
first manifestation of MS, chronic relapsing inflammatory optic neuropathy (CRION), NMO spectrum disorder (NMOSD), or part of an underlying systemic rheumatologic condition such as systemic lupus erythematosus. Accurate diagnosis, with a focus on potential etiologies, is key to decision-making around acute and chronic therapy.\textsuperscript{26,27} History, physical examination, and laboratory investigations should be oriented toward extent of visual loss as well as evaluation for involvement of other areas of the CNS and systemic involvement, including oculocutaneous, dermatologic, joint, renal, and hepatic involvement. Of importance, the presence of other ocular findings, including significant retinal involvement and inflammatory cells in the vitreous, should alert the clinician to the need for a broader rheumatologic workup. Differential considerations include sarcoidosis, CNS lupus, small vessel CNS vasculitis, and idiopathic granulomatous optic neuropathy (figure). Unusual features of the history or physical examination, including insidious onset, painless visual loss, or severe optic nerve pallor at presentation, should lead to consideration of diagnostic possibilities such as compressive lesions; posterior scleritis; maculopathies; retinopathies; ischemic optic neuropathy; toxic, nutritional, and infectious etiologies; and genetic optic atrophies (e.g., mitochondrial disorders, such as Leber hereditary optic neuropathy). Although organic etiologies should be ruled out first in children with visual complaints, inconsistencies in history and examination may suggest a nonorganic/functional etiology.\textsuperscript{28} In these cases, involvement of counselors, psychiatrists, and other therapists may be necessary to return the child to baseline functioning.

**Risk of Recurrent CNS Disease After Acute ON** Between 13% and 36% of children who present with an initial episode of ON are eventually diagnosed with MS after a short follow-up period.\textsuperscript{2,5,8} Likelihood of ON being the first manifestation of MS, chronic relapsing inflammatory optic neuropathy (CRION), NMO spectrum disorder (NMOSD), or part of an underlying systemic rheumatologic condition such as systemic lupus erythematosus. Accurate diagnosis, with a focus on potential etiologies, is key to decision-making around acute and chronic therapy.\textsuperscript{26,27} History, physical examination, and laboratory investigations should be oriented toward extent of visual loss as well as evaluation for involvement of other areas of the CNS and systemic involvement, including oculocutaneous, dermatologic, joint, renal, and hepatic involvement. Of importance, the presence of other ocular findings, including significant retinal involvement and inflammatory cells in the vitreous, should alert the clinician to the need for a broader rheumatologic workup. Differential considerations include sarcoidosis, CNS lupus, small vessel CNS vasculitis, and idiopathic granulomatous optic neuropathy (figure). Unusual features of the history or physical examination, including insidious onset, painless visual loss, or severe optic nerve pallor at presentation, should lead to consideration of diagnostic possibilities such as compressive lesions; posterior scleritis; maculopathies; retinopathies; ischemic optic neuropathy; toxic, nutritional, and infectious etiologies; and genetic optic atrophies (e.g., mitochondrial disorders, such as Leber hereditary optic neuropathy). Although organic etiologies should be ruled out first in children with visual complaints, inconsistencies in history and examination may suggest a nonorganic/functional etiology. In these cases, involvement of counselors, psychiatrists, and other therapists may be necessary to return the child to baseline functioning.

**Figure** Algorithm for the approach to a child presenting with acute optic neuritis
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\caption{Algorithm for the approach to a child presenting with acute optic neuritis}
\end{figure}

* Lumbar puncture (LP) and MRI/CT spine to be performed at clinician’s discretion. These investigations may be particularly useful in the presence of brain lesions. **Full ophthalmologic examination should be performed to exclude other ocular inflammatory conditions (e.g., uveitis, scleritis, episcleritis, or retinal vasculopathy), which may broaden the differential diagnosis to include systemic rheumatologic processes. ADEM = acute disseminated encephalomyelitis; ANA = antinuclear antibody; AQP4 = aquaporin-4; CRION = chronic relapsing inflammatory optic neuropathy; CRP = C-reactive protein; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; LETM = longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis; MS = multiple sclerosis; NMO = neuromyelitis optica; NMOSD = NMO spectrum disorder; OCB = oligoclonal band; ON = optic neuritis.
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manifestation of MS is high in children with white matter lesions on brain MRI.\textsuperscript{7,8,19} Conversely, confirmation of an MS diagnosis after a single episode of ON in childhood with no brain lesions is infrequent. In one prospective study (3 years follow-up), only 1.9% of children experiencing acute demyelination with no brain lesions were later confirmed to have MS.\textsuperscript{20} CSF markers may help to establish risk for MS in the setting of an isolated ON event. CSF oligoclonal bands were found in 80% of pediatric patients with MS and in only 15% of children with monophasic ON.\textsuperscript{21}

Twenty-six percent of patients with adult MS and 42%–58% of patients with NMO may initially present with ON alone or in combination with other neurologic deficits.\textsuperscript{31,32} Although young children may have bilateral severe ON without experiencing recurrence, given the importance of treatment to prevent irreversible visual disability in NMOSD and the high association of serologic markers such as ANA and anti-Ro/SSA with NMOSD, severe bilateral ON, poor response to steroids, or the presence of brain or spinal cord MRI findings typical of NMOSD should lead to evaluation of serum for AQP4 antibodies and concomitant autoantibodies.\textsuperscript{26} The clinical significance of other antibodies and rheumatologic markers is unclear: whether the ON is a manifestation of an underlying rheumatologic condition with concomitant AQP-4 IgG positivity is unknown. However, previous studies have suggested high specificity of AQP-4 IgG for the diagnosis of NMO, so AQP-4 IgG positivity with suggestive clinical manifestations is supportive of the diagnosis of NMOSD.\textsuperscript{33} This is addressed in the review of NMOSD contained in this supplement.

More recently, the entity of ADEM followed by ON (ADEM-ON) has been identified.\textsuperscript{34} Currently, active interest in the connection between serum anti-MOG antibodies and recurrent ON and ADEM-ON in children exists, but there is uncertainty about this relationship.\textsuperscript{35} The pathologic significance of anti-MOG antibodies has yet to be defined. This remains an evolving field.

Recurrence of ON in the absence of accompanying associated brain or spinal cord involvement may also occur in children. This is an uncommon phenomenon, representing 6/94 patients (5%) in a large series of consecutively followed children in a Canadian center.\textsuperscript{36} Some of these patients may present with steroid-dependent relapses, similar to adults with CRION.\textsuperscript{37} Although in the past CRION has been considered to be on the NMO spectrum, this entity can be distinguished from NMO by following revised diagnostic criteria for CRION: at least 2 episodes of ON, objective loss of visual function, negative testing for NMO IgG, contrast enhancement of the affected optic nerve, and response to immunosuppressive treatment with relapse on withdrawal.\textsuperscript{37} If CRION is suspected, gradual steroid taper and prompt treatment including consideration of immunosuppressive agents are recommended, as visual outcome may be poor.\textsuperscript{37}

**TREATMENT** No clinical trials have been performed for pediatric ON, so clinical practice currently follows evidence gleaned from the ONTT,\textsuperscript{38,39} in which recovery after IV steroid administration was more rapid than after placebo or oral steroids in the first 15 days after onset. By 7 weeks after onset, little difference between the groups could be seen.\textsuperscript{40} Systematic reviews have suggested no long-term difference in HCVA in adults treated acutely with steroids compared to those who were not treated, although analysis of other visual outcomes was not included in these studies.\textsuperscript{40}

Treatment in the pediatric population consists of 30 mg/kg per day IV methylprednisolone, maximum 1 g daily, for 3–5 days. The need for a prolonged course of oral steroids is unknown: one retrospective study suggested no difference in outcome between a shorter (2 weeks) and longer (>2 weeks) course of steroids in children with acute ON.\textsuperscript{41} ON treatment with pulse steroids may be particularly relevant for children, as speeding recovery from 7 to 2 weeks may prevent key psychosocial challenges, including the need to make up schoolwork, and other sequelae resulting from functional visual limitations.

Little evidence for acute therapies beyond steroid treatment exists. However, if improvement does not occur after administration of steroids, a second course of high-dose steroids, therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE), immunoadsorption, or IV immunoglobulin (IVIg) may be considered. Cases series have reported benefit with TPE and immunoadsorption in acute ON in adults.\textsuperscript{42,43} Likewise, case series suggest that plasmapheresis is safe in pediatric demyelination\textsuperscript{44} and has potential benefit in steroid-resistant pediatric ON.\textsuperscript{45}

Although some observational studies suggested a possible benefit to the use of IVIg in corticosteroid-refractory acute ON in adults,\textsuperscript{46} a double-blind trial showed no difference between outcomes when comparing IVIg and placebo in acute ON.\textsuperscript{47} Evidence for the use of IVIg in pediatric ON is limited to case reports and small case series.

**PROPHYLACTIC THERAPY IN RECURRENT DISEASE** Growing knowledge suggesting that ON can be a first manifestation of disorders such as MS and other systemic autoimmune conditions exists. In cases in which underlying NMO or rheumatologic disorders are suspected, treatment with steroids and chronic immunotherapy may prevent or delay the
appearance of further inflammatory CNS events. Choice of prophylactic therapy for recurrent disease (MS/NMO/systemic rheumatologic disease) is dictated by the underlying diagnosis. Reports of the use of immunosuppressive therapy in CRION (e.g., mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, and steroids, among other therapies) have been published, although efficacy has not been established. Efficacy of prophylactic treatment for ADEM-ON is unknown.

CONCLUSION

ON is a common presenting symptom in pediatric CNS demyelinating disorders. Clinical symptoms may be dramatic, especially in younger children, with severe decreases in visual acuity in more than half of children presenting with ON and a high likelihood of bilateral disease. Although recurrent disease may occur in approximately one-third of patients, risk stratification for recurrence is informed by the presence or absence of concomitant brain MRI T2-hyperintense lesions. Close attention should be paid to potential underlying etiologies, including rheumatologic and other antibody-mediated etiologies. Therapeutic intervention with steroids, while unlikely to change the medical outcomes in the majority of cases, may be of benefit in children, given the rapid response to steroids and high likelihood of returning to baseline within 1–2 weeks instead almost 2 months (without such treatment). Plasmapheresis and IVIg may be used in the event of poor response to steroids, especially in children with NMOSD.

Although outcome studies suggest a return to almost-normal HCVA in the majority of children, other studies have demonstrated early and marked injury to the optic nerve in pediatric ON after the first event together with decreases in functional testing, including LCLA and VF testing. Future research should focus on the relationship between functional and patient-related outcomes, optic nerve injury, and structural changes in other areas of the CNS in children with demyelinating disorders, as well as on the evaluation of progressive change in optic nerve head, retinal ganglion cell, and RNFL thickness in this population.
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